arXiv:1704.03019v2 [math.RT] 12 Apr 2017

REMARKS ON THE ASYMPTOTIC HECKE ALGEBRA

ALEXANDER BRAVERMAN AND DAVID KAZHDAN

ABSTRACT. Let G be a split reductive p-adic group. Let $\mathcal{H}(G)$ be its Hecke algebra and let $\mathcal{C}(G) \supset \mathcal{H}(G)$ be the Harish-Chandra Schwartz algebra. The purpose of this note is to give a spectral interpretation of Lusztig's asymptotic Hecke algebra J (which contains the Iwahori part of $\mathcal{H}(G)$ as a subalgebra), which shows that J is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}(G)$. This spectral description also allows to define a version of J beyond the Iwahori component - i.e. we define certain subalgebra $\mathcal{J}(G)$ of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ which contains $\mathcal{H}(G)$. We explain a relation between $\mathcal{J}(G)$ and the Schwartz space of the basic affine space studied in [2].

1. Introduction and statement of the results

1.1. **Notation.** Let F be a non-archimedian local field with ring of integers $\mathcal{O}$; we shall choose a generator π of the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}$. Typically, we shall denote algebraic varieties over F by boldface letters (e.g. $\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{X}$ etc.) and the corresponding sets of F-points – by the corresponding ordinary letters (i.e. G, X etc.).

In what follows we fix a connected split reductive group $\mathbf{G}$ over F with a Borel subgroup B, it unipotent radical U, maximal split torus $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{B}/\mathbf{U}$. Let Λ be the lattice of cocharacters of $\mathbf{T}$ and $\Lambda^\vee$ be the lattice of characters of $\mathbf{T}$.

We write $K_0 = G(\mathcal{O})$ and denote by $I \subset K_0$ an Iwahori subgroup of G. We denote by $\mathcal{H}(G)$ the full Hecke algebra of G and by $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ the Iwahori-Hecke subalgebra; we shall also denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}}$ the corresponding algebra over $\mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}]$ (thus $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ is obtained from $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}}$ by specializing v to $q^{1/2}$). We denote by $\mathcal{M}(G)$ the category of smooth representations of G. This is the same as the category of locally unital modules over $\mathcal{H}(G)$. For any smooth representation (π, V) we denote by $(\pi^\vee, V^\vee)$ the subrepresentation of smooth vectors in the representation of G on the space of linear functionals on V. For any $v \in V, \lambda \in V^\vee$ we denote by $m_{v,\lambda} : G \to \mathbb{C}$ the matrix coefficient $m_{v,\lambda}(g) := \lambda(\pi(g)(v))$.

1.2. **Matrix Paley-Wiener theorem.** Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G with a Levi group M. The set X_M of unramified characters of M is equal to $\Lambda_M^\vee \otimes \mathbb{C}^\times$ where $\Lambda_M^\vee \subset \Lambda$ is the subgroup of characters of $\mathbf{T}$ trivial on $\mathbf{T} \cap [\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}]$. So X_M has a structure of a complex manifold. We denote by $X_{M,t} \subset X_M$ the subset of unitary characters.

For any $(\sigma, V) \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ we denote by $i_{GP}(\sigma)$ the corresponding unitarily induced object of $\mathcal{M}(G)$. As a representation of K_0 this representation is equal to $ind_{P \cap K_0}^{K_0}(\sigma)$. So for any unramified character $\chi : M \to \mathbb{C}^*$ the space of the representation $i_{GP}(\sigma \otimes \chi)$ is isomorphic to the space V_χ of the representation $i_{GP}(\sigma)$ and is independent on a choice of χ. Since X_M has a structure of an algebraic variety over $\mathbb{C}$ it make sense to say that a family $\eta_\chi \in End(V_\chi), \chi \in \mathbf{T}^\vee$ is a regular (or a smooth) function of χ.

We denote by $Forg : \mathcal{M}(G) \to Vect$ the forgetful functor, by $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}(G)} = \{e(\pi)\}$ the ring of endomorphisms of $Forg$ and define $\mathcal{E}(G) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{E}(G)}$ as the subring of endomorphisms η_π such that

1) For any Levi subgroup M of G and $\sigma \in Ob(\mathcal{M}(M))$ the endomorphisms $\eta_{i_{GP}(\sigma\otimes\chi)}$ are regular functions of χ.

2) There exists an open compact subgroup K of G such that η_π is $K \times K$-invariant for every π.

By defintion we have a homomorphism

$$PW : \mathcal{H}(G) \to \mathcal{E}(G), \quad f \mapsto \pi(f).$$

The following is usually called "matrix Paley-Wiener theorem" (cf. [1], Theorem 25):

Theorem 1.3. *The map PW is an isomorphism.*

The group $G \times G$ acts on $\mathcal{E}(G)$ in the obvious way. We denote by $\mathcal{E}^I(G) \subset \mathcal{E}(G)$ the subring $I \times I$-invariant elements. It is clear that we can interpret $\mathcal{E}^I(G)$ as a subring of the ring of endomorphisms of the forgetful functor $Rep(\mathcal{H}(G, I)) \to Vect$.

1.4. **Harish-Chandra algebra.** Recall that for any $g \in G$ there exists unique dominant coweight $\lambda(g)$ of $\mathbf{T}$ such that $g \in \mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})\pi^{\lambda(g)}\mathbf{G}(\mathcal{O})$. Let us set $\Delta(g) = q^{\langle\lambda,\rho\rangle}$. Then we say that a function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}$ is a Schwartz function if

a) There exists an open compact subgroup K of G such that f is both left and right K-invariant.

b) For any polynomial function $p : G \to F$ and $n > 0$ there exists a constant $C = C_{p,n} \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that

$$\Delta(g)|f(g)| \leq C \ln^{-n}(1 + |p(g)|)$$

for all $g \in G$.

We denote by $\mathcal{C}(G)$ the space of all Schwartz functions. It is known that $\mathcal{C}(G)$ has an algebra structure with respect to convolution (cf. [7], Chapter 4 or [8]).

Obviously we have $\mathcal{H}(G) \subset \mathcal{C}(G)$.

For an open compact subgroup K of G we denote by $\mathcal{C}(G, K)$ the space of $K\times K$-invariants in $\mathcal{C}(G)$.

Below we recall the spectral description of $\mathcal{C}(G)$.

1.5. **Tempered representations.** Let (π, V) be a representation of G of finite length with central character $\eta : Z(G) \to \mathbb{C}^*$. Recall that π is called tempered if

1) π is unitary. In particular, η is unitary (i.e. takes values in $S^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^*$). In this case the absolute values $|m_{v,\lambda}|$ of matrix coefficents of V are functions on $G/Z(G)$.

2) For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and any matrix coefficients $m_{v,\lambda}$ of π we have

$$|m_{v,\lambda}| \in L^{2+\varepsilon}(G/Z(G)).$$

The following facts are well-known (cf. [7], [8]):

F1) Let π be a tempered representation of G. Then the action of $\mathcal{H}(G)$ extends naturally to an action of $\mathcal{C}(G)$.

F2) Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G with a Levi group M. Let σ be a tempered irreducible representation of M. Then the representation $i_{GP}(\sigma)$ is tempered.

F3) For a generic unitary character $\chi : M \to S^1$ the representation $i_{GP}(\sigma \otimes \chi)$ (which is tempered by F2) is irreducible.

We denote by $\mathcal{M}_t(G) \subset \mathcal{M}(G)$ the subcategory of tempered representations. As follows from $F2$) for any tempered representation σ of M and a unitary character χ of M the representations $i_{GP}(\sigma \otimes \chi)$ belong to $\mathcal{M}_t(G)$.

Let $\mathcal{E}_t(G)$ the subring of endomorphisms $\{\eta\}$ of the forgetfull functor $Forg_t : \mathcal{M}_t(G) \to Vect$ such $\eta_{i_{GP}(\sigma\otimes\chi)}$ is a smooth function of $\chi \in X_{M,t}$ for for any Levi subgroup M of G and $\sigma \in Ob(\mathcal{M}_t(M))$.

The fact F1 can be upgraded to the following version of the matrix Paley-Wiener theorem (cf. [8]):

Theorem 1.6. *The map $f \mapsto \pi(f)$ defines an isomorphism between $\mathcal{C}(G)$ and $\mathcal{E}_t(G)$.*

We denote by $\mathcal{E}_t^I \subset \mathcal{E}_t(G)$ the subring of $I \times I$-invariant elements of $\mathcal{E}_t(G)$.

1.7. **Asymptotic Hecke algebra.** Recall that we denote by $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}}$ the "algebraic" version of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ which is an algebra over $\mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}]$. Let us assume that $\mathbf{G}$ is of adjoint type. In [4] G. Lusztig has defined the so called asymptotic Hecke algebra J (we are going to recall the definition in Section 2). This is an algebra over $\mathbb{C}$[1] and there is a canonical embedding $\mathcal{H}(G, I) \hookrightarrow J \otimes \mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}]$ which becomes an isomorphism after some completion. Moreover, one can show that the specialization of this embedding to any $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$ is also injective. Hence we get an embedding $\mathcal{H}(G, I) \hookrightarrow J$.

One of the main purposes of this note is to formulate and prove a version of matrix Paley-Wiener theorem for J.

Let P be a parabolic subgroup with Levi group M. We say that an unramified character $\chi : M \to \mathbb{C}^*$ is (non-strictly) positive if for any coroot α of $\mathbf{G}$ such that the corresponding root subgroup lies in the unipotent radical $\mathbf{U_P}$ of $\mathbf{P}$ (which in particular defines a homomorphism $\alpha : F^* \to Z(M)$) we have $|\chi(\alpha(x))| \geq 1$ for $|x| \geq 1$.

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{J}}(G)$ be ring of collections $\{E_\pi \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V)|$ for tempered irreducible $(\pi, V)\}$ which extend to a rational function $E_{i_{GP}(\sigma\otimes\chi)} \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(\sigma \otimes \chi)$ for every tempered irreducible representation σ of M and which are

a) regular on the set of characters χ such that χ^{-1} is (non-strictly) positive.

b) K-invariant for some open compact subgroup K of G.

As follows from the definition we have an imbedding $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{J}}^I(G) \to \mathcal{E}_t^I(G)$.

Theorem 1.8. (1) *Let (π, V) be a tempered representation of G. Then the action the action of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ on V^I extends uniquely to J.*

(2) *Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G with Levi group M, σ be a tempered representation of M, χ be a (non-strictly) positive character of M and $(\pi, V) = i_{GP}(\sigma \otimes \chi^{-1})$. Then the action of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ on V^I extends uniquely to an action of J.*

(3) *The map $f \mapsto \pi(f)$ defines an isomorphism between J and $E_{\mathcal{J}}^I(G)$.*

It follows immediately from Theorem 1.3, Theorem 1.6 and Theorem 1.8 that we have inclusions $\mathcal{H}(G, I) \subset J \subset \mathcal{C}(G, I)$. Theorem 1.8 allows to give the following

[1] In fact J can be defined over $\mathbb{Z}$ but to simplify the notation we shall always work over $\mathbb{C}$

Definition 1.9. *We define $\mathcal{J}(G)$ to be the preimage of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{J}}(G)$ in $\mathcal{C}(G)$. Note that we have natural embeddings $\mathcal{H}(G) \subset \mathcal{J}(G) \subset \mathcal{C}(G)$.*

The algebra $\mathcal{J}(G)$ can be thought of as a "beyond Iwahori" version of $\mathcal{J}(G, I)$. It follows again from Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.6 that we have embedding $\mathcal{H}(G) \subset \mathcal{J}(G) \subset \mathcal{C}(G)$. Also, this definition makes sense for any reductive G.

The proof of Theorem 1.8 is given in the next Section; it is essentially on exercise on manipulating the results from [4], [5] and [6]. In Section 3 we also explain a connection between the algebra $\mathcal{J}(G)$ and the Schwartz space of the basic affine space studied in [2].

1.10. **Acknowledgements.** We would like to thank R. Bezrukavnikov and V. Ostrik for numerous discussions and help during the preparation of this note.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.8

In this Section we shall assume that **G** is semi-simple and adjoint. This implies that its affine Weyl group $W_{\mathrm{aff}} = \Lambda \rtimes W$ is a Coxeter group.

2.1. **Definition of J.** We are going to keep the notations of [4]. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}], \mathcal{A}^+ = \mathbb{C}[v]$. We let as before $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ denote the corresponding affine Hecke algebra $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}$. It has bases $\{T_w, \widetilde{T}_w, C_w\}_{w \in W_{\mathrm{aff}}}$ where T_w is the standard basis of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}$, $\widetilde{T}_w = v^{-\ell(w)} T_w$ and C_w is the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis which satisfies

$$C_w = \sum_{y \leq w} (-1)^{\ell(w)-\ell(y)} v^{\ell(w)-\ell(y)} P_{y,w}(v^{-2}) \widetilde{T}_y,$$

where $P_{y,w}$ is a polynomial.

For $x, y \in W_{\mathrm{aff}}$ let us set

$$C_x C_y = \sum_{z \in W_{\mathrm{aff}}} h_{x,y,z} C_z.$$

For any $z \in W_{\mathrm{aff}}$ we let $a(z)$ be the smallest integer such that $u^{a(z)} h_{x,y,z} \in \mathcal{A}^+$ for all x, y. Let $\gamma_{x,y,z} \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $u^{a(z)} h_{x,y,z} - \gamma_{x,y,z} \in u\mathcal{A}^+$. Then let J denote the free $\mathbb{Z}$-module with basis $t_w, w \in W_{\mathrm{aff}}$; it has a ring structure defined by

$$t_x t_y = \sum_{z \in W_{\mathrm{aff}}} \gamma_{x,y,z} t_z.$$

Let $k = \mathbb{Z}((v^{-1}))$ with the natural v^{-1}-adic topology on it. Let also $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}}$ be the completion of $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}$ consisting of all (possibly infinite) sums $\sum b_x C_x$ with $b_x \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ with such that $b_x \to 0$ when $\ell(x) \to \infty$ (it is clear that one can replace C_x by $\widetilde{T}_x$ in the above definition). In Section 2.4 of [4] G. Lusztig defines a homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}} \hookrightarrow J \otimes \mathcal{A}$ which gives rise to an isomorphism between $\widehat{\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}}$ and $J \otimes k$. Also, the above embedding makes $J \otimes \mathcal{A}$ into a finitely generated module over $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{aff}}$.

2.2. **Representations of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}}$ and $\mathcal{H}(G,I)$.** Set $J_{\mathcal{A}} = J \otimes \mathcal{A}, J_k = J \otimes k, \mathcal{H}_{\text{aff},k} = \mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}} \underset{\mathcal{A}}{\otimes} k$. It follows from the above that $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff},k}$ can be regarded as a subalgebra of J_k. In what follows we denote by $G^\vee$ the Langlands dual group of $\mathbf{G}$ (over $\mathbb{C}$).

Let (s,u,ρ) be a triple where

a) $s \in G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$ is a semi-simple element

b) $u \in G^\vee(\mathbb{C})$ is a unipotent element such that $su = us$

c) ρ is an irreducible representation of the group of components of the centralizer of the pair (s,u).

Recall that in [3] Kazhdan and Lusztig define a representation $\mathsf{K}(s,u,\rho)$ of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}}$. This representation may be 0; we shall say that the triple (s,u,ρ) is admissible if $\mathsf{K}(s,u,\rho) \neq 0$. We shall denote $\mathsf{K}(s,u,\rho,q)$ the specialization of $K(s,u,\rho)$ to $v = q^{1/2}$ viewed as a representation of $\mathcal{H}(G,I)$. Then the following facts are true:

(1) (cf. Theorem 7.12 in [3]) $\mathsf{K}(s,u,\rho,q)$ has unique simple quotient which we shall denote by $L(u,s,\rho,q)$.

(2) (cf. Theorem 8.2 in [3]) Assume that s is compact. Then the corresponding representation $\mathsf{K}(s,u,\rho,q)$ of $\mathcal{H}(G,I)$ is tempered and irreducible. Moreover, every irreducible tempered representation of $\mathcal{H}(G,I)$ is isomorphic to $\mathsf{K}(s,u,\rho,q)$ for a unique admissible triple (s,u,ρ) with compact s.

(3) (cf. Theorem 6.2 in [3]) Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G with Levi group M and let $P^\vee$ and $M^\vee$ be the corresponding parabolic and Levi subgroups in $G^\vee$. Let also $\mathfrak{p}^\vee, \mathfrak{m}^\vee$ be their Lie algebras. Assume that $s,u \in M^\vee$. Let $Z_{M^\vee}(s,u)$ be the centralizer of (s,u) in $M^\vee$ and let $Z_{G^\vee}(s,u)$ be the centralizer of (s,u) in $G^\vee$. Assume in addition that $\rho|_{Z_{M^\vee}(s,u)}$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of some irreducible representations ρ_j (where j runs over some index set).

Let now $(\mathfrak{g}^\vee/\mathfrak{p}^\vee)_u$ be the kernel of $1-u$ on $\mathfrak{g}^\vee/\mathfrak{p}^\vee$. Assume that q^{-i} is not an eigen-value of s on $(\mathfrak{g}^\vee/\mathfrak{p}^\vee)_u$ for every $i > 0$. Then $\mathsf{K}(s,u,\rho,q)$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of all the $i_{GP}(\mathsf{K}_M(s,u,\rho_j,q))$ (where $\mathsf{K}_M(s,u,\rho_j,q)$ denotes the corresponding representation of M). In particular, this is true if s is of the form $s' \cdot \chi^{-1}$ where s' is compact and χ is a positive character of M.

In [6] Lusztig proves the following result:

Theorem 2.3. *Let E be an irreducible representation of J and let $E_k = \rho \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} k$. Then there exists unique triple (s,u,ρ) such that $E_k|_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff},k}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathsf{K}(s,u,\rho) \underset{\mathbb{C}}{\otimes} k$ (we shall denote the latter $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff},k}$-module by $\mathsf{K}(s,u,\rho)_k$). Moreover, every admissible triple (s,u,ρ) arises in this way.*

Theorem 2.3 implies that we have bijection between irreducible representations of J and admissible triples (s,u,ρ). For any such triple (s,u,ρ) we shall denote by $E(s,u,\rho)$ the corresponding irreducible representation of J.

Note that by specializing the embedding $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}} \hookrightarrow J \otimes \mathcal{A}$ to $v = q^{1/2}$ we get a homomorphism $\mathcal{H}(G,I) \to J$ which is injective by Proposition 1.7 of [5]. We now claim the following:

Theorem 2.4. *Let π be an irreducible tempered representation of $\mathcal{H}(G,I)$. Then π extends uniquely to a representation of J. The same thing is true for an induced representation of*

the form $i_{GP}(\sigma \otimes \chi^{-1})$ where σ is an irreducible tempered representation of the Levi group M and χ is a positive character of M.

In order to prove Theorem 2.4 we are going to use the following result of N. Xi [9]:

Theorem 2.5. *Let (s, u, ρ) be an admissible triple. Then the restriction of $E(s, u, \rho)$ to $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ has unique irreducible quotient, which is isomorphic to $L(s, u, \rho, q)$. Moreover, any irreducible subquotient of the kernel of the map $E(s, u, \rho)|_{\mathcal{H}(G,I)} \to L(s, u, \rho, q)$ is not isomorphic to $L(s, u, \rho, q)$.*

Corollary 2.6. *The representation $E(s, u, \rho)|_{\mathcal{H}(G,I)}$ of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ is isomorphic to $\mathsf{K}(s, u, \rho, q)$.*

Proof. By definition we have an isomorphism $E(s, u, \rho)|_{\mathcal{H}(G,I)} \simeq \mathsf{K}(s, u, \rho)_k$. This obviously implies that

a) $\dim E = \dim_k \mathsf{K}(s, u, \rho)_k = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathsf{K}(s, u, \rho, q)$

b) There exists a non-zero homomorphism $\mathsf{K}(s, u, \rho, q) \to E(s, u, \rho)|_{\mathcal{H}(G,I)}$.

According to [3], Theorem 7.12 the representation $\mathsf{K}(s, u, \rho, q)$ has unique simple quotient $L(s, u, \rho, q)$. It now follows from Theorem 2.5 that the map $\mathsf{K}(s, u, \rho, q) \to E(s, u, \rho)|_{\mathcal{H}(G,I)}$ is surjective (indeed, otherwise it would land inside the maximal proper submodule of $E(s, u, \rho)|_{\mathcal{H}(G,I)}$ which doesn't contain $L(s, u, \rho, q)$ as a subquotient. On the other hand, any non-zero image of $\mathsf{K}(s, u, \rho, q)$ has a quotient isomorphic to $L(s, u, \rho, q)$). Since the dimensions of these two modules are equal, it follows that the map $\mathsf{K}(s, u, \rho, q) \to E(s, u, \rho)|_{\mathcal{H}(G,I)}$ is an isomorphism. □

It is clear now that Theorem 2.4 follows from Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 2.3.

2.7. **Proof of Theorem 1.8.** The first two assertions of Theorem 1.8 are exactly the two assertions of Theorem 2.4. So, we are left with the 3rd assertion. First, given $h \in J$ for any P, M, σ as above we can define $\eta_h(\chi) \in \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(i_{GP}(\sigma \otimes \chi^{-1}))$ where χ is a positive unramified character of M; the fact that $\eta_h(\chi)$ depends rationally on χ follows immediately from the fact that the embedding $\mathcal{H}(G, I) \hookrightarrow J$ is an isomorphism over the generic point of the center of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$. Thus we get an injective map $J \hookrightarrow \mathcal{E}^I_{\mathcal{J}}(G)$. We now want to prove that this map is also surjective.

Let Z be the algebra of polynomial functions on $G^\vee$ which are invariant under adjoint action. Then Z is the center of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ and thus it is embedded into both J and $\mathcal{E}^I_{\mathcal{J}}(G)$; moreover, it is clear that both J and $\mathcal{E}^I_{\mathcal{J}}(G)$ are finitely generated modules over Z

To prove the that the desired surjectivity holds it is enough to prove that it holds modulo every maximal ideal of Z. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be such an ideal. Set $J_{\mathfrak{m}} = J/\mathfrak{m}J, \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}} = \mathcal{E}^I_{\mathcal{J}}(G)/\mathfrak{m}\mathcal{E}^I_{\mathcal{J}}(G)$. It is enough to prove that the map $J_{\mathfrak{m}} \to \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is surjective for every $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $(\pi_1, V_1), \cdots, (\pi_n, V_n)$ be all the different (non-isomorphic) representations of G which have the form $i_{GP}(\sigma \otimes \chi^{-1})$ with tempered σ and positive χ such that $V_i^I \neq 0$ and Z acts on V_i^I through quotient by $\mathfrak{m}$. Then by definition $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ embeds into $\oplus_i \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_i^I)$. On the other hand $V_1^I, \cdots, V_n^I$ are non-isomorphic irreducible representations of $J_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and hence the map $J_{\mathfrak{m}} \to \oplus_i \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{C}}(V_i^I)$ is surjective.

3. Connection to the Schwartz space of G/U

3.1. **Digression on** [2]**.** Let $\mathbf{U}$ be a maximal unipotent subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$ defined over F, $U = \mathbf{U}(F)$. Set $X = G/U$; it is endowed with a natural action of $G \times T$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ the space of locally constant compactly supported functions on X, let also $C^\infty(X)$ denote just the space functions $f : X \to \mathbb{C}$ such that there exists an open compact subgroup K of G such that f is K-invariant. Let $G \times T$ acts on these spaces is such a way that the action of G comes from the right action of G on X and the action of T comes from the right action of T on X twisted by the character $t \mapsto q^{\langle \mathrm{val}(t), \rho \rangle}$ of T where $\mathrm{val} : T \to \Lambda$ denotes the natural homomorphism. In [2] we have defined the Schwartz space $\mathcal{S}(G/U)$ of functions on the basic affine space G/U which contains $\mathcal{S}_c(G/U)$ and it is contained in $C^\infty(X)$ in the case when $\mathbf{G}$ is simply connected. Let us recall this definition.

The space X has unique up to scalar G-invariant measure and we denote by $L^2(X)$ the L^2-space with respect to this measure. When $\mathbf{G}$ is simply connected one can construct a natural action of the Weyl group W on $L^2(X)$ by unitary operators Φ_w which commute with $G \times T$. In order to define these operators it is enough to consider the case when $w = s_\alpha$ – a simple reflection (here α is a simple root of G). Let us recall this definition as it will be used in the future.

For a simple root α let $\mathbf{P}_\alpha \subset \mathbf{G}$ be the minimal parabolic of type α containing B. Let $\mathbf{B}_\alpha$ be the commutator subgroup of P_α, and denote $\mathbf{X}_\alpha := \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{B}_\alpha$. We have an obvious projection of homogeneous spaces $\pi_\alpha : \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}_\alpha$. It is a fibration with the fiber $\mathbf{B}_\alpha/\mathbf{U} = \mathbb{A}^2 - \{0\}$.

Let $\overline{\pi}_\alpha : \overline{\mathbf{X}}^\alpha \to \mathbf{X}_\alpha$ be the relative affine completion of the morphism π_α. (So $\overline{\pi}_\alpha$ is the affine morphism corresponding to the sheaf of algebras $\pi_{\alpha *}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{X}})$ on $\mathbf{X}_\alpha$.) Then $\overline{\pi}_\alpha$ has the structure of a 2-dimensional vector bundle; $\mathbf{X}$ is identified with the complement to the zero-section in $\overline{\mathbf{X}}^\alpha$. The $\mathbf{G}$-action on $\mathbf{X}$ obviously extends to $\overline{\mathbf{X}}^\alpha$; moreover, it is easy to see that the determinant of the vector bundle $\overline{\pi}_\alpha$ admits a canonical (up to a constant) $\mathbf{G}$-invariant trivialization, i.e. $\overline{\pi}_\alpha$ admits unique up to a constant $\mathbf{G}$-invariant fiberwise symplectic form ω_α. We will fix such a form for every α.

Obviously $L^2(X) = L^2(\overline{X}^\alpha)$. Thus we define $\Phi_\alpha = \Phi_{s_\alpha}$ to be equal to the Fourier transform in the fibers of $\overline{\pi}_\alpha$, corresponding to the identification of $\overline{X}^\alpha$ with the dual bundle by means of ω_α.

Then

$$\mathcal{S}(X) = \sum_{w \in W} \Phi_w(\mathcal{S}_c(X)).$$

We can extend the above definition to the case when $\mathbf{G}$ is not necessarily simply connected. First the definition of [2] works without any change in the case when $[\mathbf{G}, \mathbf{G}]$ is simply connected. Now, given any connected reductive $\mathbf{G}$ there always exists an algebraic reductive group $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$ and a central torus $\mathbf{Z}$ in $\widetilde{\mathbf{G}}$ so that $\mathbf{G} = \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}/\mathbf{Z}$. We now denote by $\widetilde{X}$ the basic affine space for $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{\mathbf{G}}(F)$ and we set $\mathcal{S}(X) = \mathcal{S}(\widetilde{X})^T$. With this definition most results of [2] extend word-by-word to any $\mathbf{G}$.

3.2. **Action of $\mathcal{J}(G)$ on $L^2(X)$.** By definition we have $\mathcal{S}(X) \subset L^2(X)$. We claim that $\mathcal{C}(G)$ acts on $L^2(X)$. Indeed, we have

$$L^2(X) = \bigoplus_{\theta : \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O}) \to S^1} L^2(X)_\theta,$$

where $L^2(X)_\theta$ denotes the subspace of $L^2(X)$ on which $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O})$ acts by θ. Now, each $L^2(X)_\theta$ is a direct integral of G-representations of the form $i_{GB}(\chi)$ where χ is a unitary character of T over a compact base (isomorphic to $(S^1)^{\dim \mathbf{T}}$) and therefore it acquires a natural action of $\mathcal{C}(G)$ (since it acts on each $i_{GB}(\chi)$ with unitary χ).

In particular, the algebra $\mathcal{J}(G)$ acts on $L^2(X)$. The following result provides an alternative definition of $\mathcal{S}(X)$.

Theorem 3.3. *We have $\mathcal{S}(X) = \mathcal{J}(G) \cdot \mathcal{S}_c(X)$.*

Remark. We claim that Theorem 3.3 is equivalent to saying that $\mathcal{S}(X) = \mathcal{J}(G)_U$ where the latter means U-coinvariants with respect to the right action of U on $\mathcal{J}(G)$ (note that $\mathcal{J}(G)$ is a G-bimodule, since it contains $\mathcal{H}(G)$ as a subalgebra). Indeed, let us assume Theorem 3.3. Then we can define a map $\zeta : \mathcal{J}(G) \to \mathcal{S}(X)$ by sending every $f \in \mathcal{J}(G)$ to $\int_U f(gu)du$. The fact that the action of $\mathcal{J}(G)$ on $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ is well-defined guarantees that this integral is convergent; in fact we have $\zeta(f) = f \star \delta_{K/K\cap U}$ for a sufficiently small open compact subgroup K of G (here $\delta_{K/K\cap U}$ denotes the multiple of the characteristic function of $K/K \cap U \subset G/U$ normalized by the condition that its integral over G/U is equal to 1; it is easy to see that the result is independent of the choice of K if we require that f is K-invariant). Also Theorem 3.3 guarantees that $f \star \delta_{K/K\cap U} \in \mathcal{S}(X)$. It is clear that ζ factorizes through $\mathcal{J}(G)_U$ and the resulting map $\mathcal{J}(G)_U \to \mathcal{S}(X)$ is injective. On the other hand, the restriction of ζ to $\mathcal{H}(G)$ defines a surjective map $\mathcal{H}(G) \to \mathcal{S}_c(X)$. Hence, by definition we ζ is a surjective map from $\mathcal{J}(G)$ to $\mathcal{J}(G) \cdot \mathcal{S}_c(X) = \mathcal{S}(X)$. Thus we have proved that Theorem 3.3 implies that $\mathcal{S}(X) = \mathcal{J}(G)_U$. The converse statement obvious, since as a $\mathcal{J}(G)$-module the space $\mathcal{J}(G)_U$ is clearly generated by $\mathcal{H}(G)_U = \mathcal{S}_c(X)$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}_c = \mathcal{S}_c(X), \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}(X), \mathcal{S}' = \mathcal{J}(G) \cdot \mathcal{S}_c(X)$. We want to show the equality $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}'$.

Step 1. Let us first show that $\mathcal{S}^I = (\mathcal{S}')^I$. As before, let $G^\vee$ denote the Langlands dual group of $\mathbf{G}$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and let $\mathcal{B}$ be its flag variety. According to [4] the algebra J decomposes as a direct sum of subalgebras J_u numbered by unipotent elements $u \in G^\vee$ up to conjugacy. We denote by J_0 the summand corresponding to the unit conjugacy class. We claim the action on J on $L^2(X)^I$ factorizes through the projection on J_0. This is obvious since $L^2(X)^I$ is a torsion-free module over the center of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$ and every J_u with non-trivial u is annihilated by a non-zero ideal of the center.

According to [10] the algebra J_0 is naturally isomorphic to the $K_{G^\vee}(\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B})$ (here $K_{G^\vee}(?)$ stands for the complexified Grothendieck group of $G^\vee$-equivariant coherent sheaves on ?). On the other hand, let $\mathcal{K} = K_{T^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{B})$ and let $\mathcal{K}_q$ be its specialization at $v = q^{1/2}$ where $K_{\mathbb{C}^*}(pt) = \mathbb{C}[v, v^{-1}]$ (the action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $\mathcal{B}$ trivial). According to Section 5 of [2] the space $\mathcal{K}$ has a natural action of $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}}$ and hence $\mathcal{K}_q$ has a natural action of $\mathcal{H}(G, I)$. Moreover, we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{S}^I \simeq \mathcal{K}_q$ which identifies $\mathcal{S}^I_c$ with the submodule generated by the skyscraper κ at some $T^\vee$-invariant point $e \in \mathcal{B}^\vee$. On the other hand $J_0 \otimes \mathcal{A} = K_{G^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B})$ clearly acts on $\mathcal{K}$ (and this action is compatible with the $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}}$-action with respect to the homomorphism $\mathcal{H}_{\text{aff}} \to J_0$ – this is proved in [10]); moreover the action of $K_{G^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B})$ on κ defines an isomorphism $K_{G^\vee \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{B}) \simeq \mathcal{K}$. Hence the same is true after specialization to $v = q^{1/2}$. We see that J_0 acts on $\mathcal{K}_q = \mathcal{S}^I$ and the latter is generated as a module by an element of $\mathcal{S}^I_c$. This implies the equality $\mathcal{S}^I = (\mathcal{S}')^I$.

Step 2. Let $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}'_0$ denote the G-module of coinvariants of $\mathcal{S}$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}'$) with respect to $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O})$. Then both are subrepresentations of $C^\infty(X)_0$. Recall that if a G-module V is generated by I-fixed vectors then two G-submodules W_1 and W_2 of V coincide if and only if $W_1^I = W_2^I$. Applying this to $C^\infty(X)_0, W_1 = \mathcal{S}_0, W_2 = \mathcal{S}'_0$ and using Step 1 we get the equality $\mathcal{S}_0 = \mathcal{S}'_0$.

Step 3. Let $\theta : \mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O}) \to S^1$ be a character. For a T-module V let V_θ denote the corresponding space of $(\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O}), \theta)$-coinvariants. Let us prove that $\mathcal{S}_\theta = \mathcal{S}'_\theta$ assuming that the composition of θ with any coroot is a non-trivial character of $\mathcal{O}^*$. We shall refer to such θ as "regular".

In this case it is obvious from the definition of Φ_w that for any simple coroot α the operator Φ_{s_α} defines an isomorphism between $\mathcal{S}_{c,\theta}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{c,s_\alpha(\theta)}$. Indeed, any $(\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O}), \theta)$-equivariant function automatically vanishes on $\overline{X}^\alpha \backslash X$ (recall the notation of Section 3.1) and the same is true for θ replaced with $s_\alpha(\theta)$. Since the notion of regularity is W-invariant it follows that for any $w \in W$ the operator Φ_w defines an isomorphism between $\mathcal{S}_{c,\theta}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{c,w(\theta)}$. On the other hand, we claim that $\mathcal{S}'_\theta = \mathcal{S}_{c,\theta}$. For this it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{S}_{c,\theta}$ is $\mathcal{J}(G)$-invariant. This would follow if we knew that for any character $\chi : T \to \mathbb{C}^*$ such that $\chi|_{\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O})} = \theta$ the action of $\mathcal{H}(G)$ on the space $\mathcal{S}_{c,\chi}$ of (T, χ)-coinvariants on $\mathcal{S}_c$ extends to $\mathcal{J}(G)$. For any χ as above we can find an element $w \in W$ such that $w(\chi)$ is non-negative. Hence by definition $\mathcal{J}(G)$ acts on $\mathcal{S}_{c,w(\chi)} = i_{GB}(w(\chi)) \simeq i_{GB}(\chi)$.

Step 4. Let θ be arbitrary. Let R denote the set of all coroots of $\mathbf{G}$ and let R_θ be the set of coroots α such that $\theta \circ \alpha$ is the trivial character of $\mathcal{O}^*$. Then R_θ is a coroot system of some Levi subgroup $\mathbf{M}$ of $\mathbf{G}$. Let $\mathbf{P}$ be any parabolic containing $\mathbf{M}$ as a Levi subgroup. Let $\mathcal{S}M, \theta$ (resp. $\mathcal{S}'_{M,\theta}$ denote the same spaces as $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}'_0$ but for G replaced by M. Then the same argument as in Step 3 shows that $\mathcal{S}_\theta = i_{GP}(\mathcal{S}_{M,\theta})$ and $\mathcal{S}'_\theta = i_{GP}(\mathcal{S}'_{M,\theta})$. On the other hand, since θ is trivial on $\mathbf{T}(\mathcal{O}) \cap [\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{M}](\mathcal{O})$ the same argument as in Step 2 show that $\mathcal{S}_{M,\theta} = \mathcal{S}'_{M,\theta}$.

□

3.4. **The parabolic case.** Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G with a Levi subgroup M and unipotent radical U_P. Let $X_P = G/U_P$. This space has a natural $G \times M$ action. Therefore the space $\mathcal{S}_c(X)$ of locally constant compactly supported functions on X_P becomes a $G \times M$ module; for convenience we are going to twist the M action by the square root of the absolute value of the determinant of the M-action on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}_P$ of U_P.

As before, we can define the space $L^2(X_P)$. For the same reason as before it has an action of $\mathcal{C}(G)$. We now define $\mathcal{S}(X_P) := \mathcal{J}(G) \cdot \mathcal{S}_c(X_P) \subset L^2(X_P)$. Equivalently $\mathcal{S}(X_P) = \mathcal{J}(G)_{U_P}$.

Conjecture 3.5. *Let P and Q be two associate parabolics, i.e. two parabolics with the same Levi subgroup M. Then there exists a $G \times M$-equivariant unitary isomorphism $\Phi_{P,Q} : L^2(X_P) \widetilde{\to} L^2(X_Q)$ whose restriction to $\mathcal{S}(X_P)$ defines an isomorphism between $\mathcal{S}(X_P)$ and $\mathcal{S}(X_Q)$.*

References

[1] J. Bernstein, *Draft of : Representations of p-adic groups*, Fall 1992. Lectures by Joseph Bernstein, Written by Karl E. Rumelhart.

[2] A. Braverman and D. Kazhdan, *On the Schwartz space of the basic affine space*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **5** (1999), no. 1, 1-28.
[3] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, *Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras*, Invent. math. **87**, 153-215 (1987)
[4] G. Lusztig, *Cells in affine Weyl groups. II.* J. Algebra **109** (1987), no. 2, 536-548.
[5] G. Lusztig, *Cells in affine Weyl groups. III.* J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **34** (1987), no. 2, 223-243.
[6] G. Lusztig, *Cells in affine Weyl groups. IV.* J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. **36** (1989), no. 2, 297-328.
[7] A. J. Silberger, *Introduction to Harmonic Analysis on Reductive P-Adic Groups*, Based on Lectures by Harish-Chandra at The Institute for Advanced Study, 1971-73. Princeton University Press, 1979.
[8] J.-L. Waldspurger, *La formule de Plancherel pour les groupes p-adiques (d'après Harish-Chandra).* J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, 2(2):235-333, 2003
[9] N. Xi, *Representations of affine Hecke algebras and based rings of affine Weyl groups.* J. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (2007), no. 1, 211-217.
[10] N. Xi, *The based ring of the lowest two-sided cell of an affine Weyl group, III*, arXiv:1506.00476.

A.B.: Department of Mathematics, University of Toronto, Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics and Skolkovo Institute for Science and Technology;

D.K.: Department of Mathematics, Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel